## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	CASE NO. 8:01CR3123
Plaintiff,	)	<b>,</b>
vs.	)	ORDER
ANTONIO RODRIGUEZ,	)	
Defendant.	)	

This matter is before the Court on the Defendant's motion to set a briefing schedule and continue the resentencing hearing (Filing No. 231). The Defendant asks to continue the hearing, now scheduled for January 23, 2006, by 21 days to accommodate briefing and the submission of evidence by both parties.<sup>1</sup>

The issues to be addressed at resentencing according to the July 11, 2005, Eighth Circuit decision are: 1) the manner and extent of the downward departure; and 2) review of enhancements under an advisory guideline scheme. *United States v. Rodriguez,* 414 F.3d 837, 849 (8<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2005). The Court notes a recent Eighth Circuit decision stating that, under *Apprendi v. New Jersey,* 530 U.S. 466 (2000), *United States v. Booker,* 125 S. Ct. 738 (2005), and *United States v. Pirani,* 406 F.3d 543, 551 n.4 (8<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2005), the district court may decide sentencing enhancements by a preponderance of the evidence. *United States v. Artis,* 2006 WL 73463 (8<sup>th</sup> Cir. Jan. 13, 2006).

Given the Eighth Circuit's guidance in the *Rodriguez* opinion and the recognized applicability of the preponderance of the evidence standard, the Court does not require lengthy briefing by either party. However, the Court will continue the resentencing, and will

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The Court granted leave for the Defendant to file his brief under seal. (Filing No. 230.)

allow each party to submit concise briefs as follows: the Defendant's brief is due no later

than January 25, 2006, and the government's brief is due no later than February 3, 2006.

No further briefing will be allowed. Each party shall clearly and concisely address the two

relevant issues, considering the Eighth Circuit's opinion. Absent dire circumstances, no

further continuances will be allowed with respect to the briefing schedule or the

resentencing hearing. The Court will not order the Marshal to keep the Defendant at the

requested jail; rather, counsel may make an informal request to the U.S. Marshal.

IT IS ORDERED:

1. The Defendant's motion to extend the briefing deadline and resentencing

hearing (Filing No. 231) is granted, and the resentencing hearing is continued until further

order of the Court for the reasons expressed above;

2. The Defendant, Antonio Rodriguez, shall file a concise brief on any issues

relevant to the his resentencing on or before January 25, 2006;

The United States shall file its concise responsive brief and address any 3.

issues relevant to the Defendant's resentencing on or before February 3, 2006;

4. The request of defense counsel to order the U.S. Marshal to keep the

Defendant in a particular jail will be denied; and

5. The Clerk shall send a copy of this Memorandum and Order to the U.S.

Marshal.

DATED this 17<sup>th</sup> day of January, 2006.

BY THE COURT:

s/Laurie Smith Camp

United States District Judge